

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,603	10/19/1999	NAOYASU MIYAGAWA	JEL.28567RE-	3028
5	7590 08/16/2002			
James E Ledbetter Esq Stevens Davis Miller & Mosher LLP P O Box 34387 1615 L Street NW, Suite 850 Washington, DC 20043-4387			EXAMINER	
			HINDI, NABIL Z	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2653	22
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/420,603

Applicant(s)

Miyagawa et al

Examiner

Nabil Hindi

Art Unit **2653**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover si	heet with t	the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6 e application to bec	i) MONTHS fro ome ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on June 25, 20	002		<u> </u>		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-fina	ıl.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 36 and 38-45	=		is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>36 and 38-45</u>					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)					
8) 🗆	Claims					
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accept	ed or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be h	eld in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	s: a)□ a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office a	ction.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	-		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
	_					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm		,, e	22 2.01			
1) 🔲 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO	-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/420603

Art Unit: 2653

In response to the withdrawing the holding of abandonment dated May 10, 2002 and the Terminal Disclaimer dated June 25, 2002. The following action is taken:

1. Claims 36, and 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States,* 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Applicant amended all original claims 1, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19 of patent number 5,235,581 to include limitations which were specifically added to overcome prior art rejections during the prosecution of that original patent. Such, newly added limitations included for example, "N optical heads, N being greater than or equal to 2"; objective lenses "whose aberration have respectively been corrected for said N disc substrates having different thicknesses"; " a plurality

Application/Control Number: 09/420603

Art Unit: 2653

of' photo detecting means, control means for "allowing" and control means ... "for providing said

control signal to said selecting means and for allowing the light emitting means for" which have

now been are now removed from the present application.. This constitutes an improper recapture

of the claims, see Pannu v. Storz Instruments, Inc. 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ. 2d 1597 (Fed. Cir.

2001).

Note: Applicant's required arguments to this rejection must include an explanation of all

limitations deleted from each of the independent claims of the original patent, which had

been added to establish patentability (e.g., added from the dependent claims to the

independent claims).

Furthermore, certificate of correction is requested for the parent reissue application citing

all related reissue applications.

Applicant is hereby reminded that the new supplemental declaration should meet the

requirements set in the MPEP 1414.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone

number (703) 308.1555

IMARY EXAMINER

ROUP 2590

eus s

Page 3